# REPORT CARD 2024 STRUCTURES INVOLVED IN THE TRAJECTORY OF THE AUDITORY SYSTEM (PART I): COCHLEAR NUCLEI

Milaine Dominici Sanfins, Lúcio Takemoto, Márcia Bongiovanni and Piotr Henryk Skarzynski.





### STRUCTURES INVOLVED IN THE TRAJECTORY OF THE AUDITORY SYSTEM (PART I): COCHLEAR NUCLEI

Milaine Dominici Sanfins, Lúcio Takemoto, Márcia Bongiovanni and Piotr Henryk Skarzynski.

### This bulletin provides

information about the structures involved in the trajectory of the auditory system, structures that are essential for processing auditory information.

Knowledge of the neuroanatomy and neurophysiology of the Central Auditory Nervous System (CANS) by health



professionals is important and underlies appropriate patient care. This bulletin describes the cochlear nuclei (CN). This is the first bulletin on the topic, and readers can follow us to learn more.

> The CN are the first structures of the CANS. They are located in the anteroposterior region of the pons and receive fibers from the auditory nerve. They have three divisions:

- Dorsal CN
- Posterior ventral CN
- Ventral anterior CN.

In turn, the CN complex is made up of several types of cells:

- Pyramidal
- Octopus
- Globular
- Multipolar
- Spherical.

# **ALTHOUGH THERE ARE** RAMIFICATIONS AND DIFFERENT **TYPES OF CELLS IN THE** CN, IT CAN **BE SAID THAT IN GENERAL EACH AREA** HAS A SPECIFIC **AND WELL-**ORGANISED TONOTOPIC **ARRANGEMENT.**

The tonotopic mapping in the CN is similar to that found in the auditory nerve, so that low-frequency sounds (of low pitch) are perceived separately to high-frequency (or high-pitched) sounds. Furthermore, the rate of neural firing increases as the strength of an acoustic stimulus increases.

More neurons are devoted to

signaling high intensities, although the threshold for the vast majority of fibers is 30 to 40 dB.

In 2000, it was discovered that the CN also have the ability to process amplitude-modulated tones.

However, the cytoarchitecture (the composition of body tissues at the cellular level) of the CN varies greatly between humans and animals. These differences are not yet clear, so for now animal studies must be interpreted with caution.

-1-)))-1-0)



A recent and very interesting study by Hockley and collaborators (2023) exposed animals to noise with the aim of obtaining a picture of cochlear synaptopathy.

This was the first study on the effects of cochlear synaptopathy on sound coding by CN neurons.

The researchers found that TIN (tone-in-noise) detection thresholds were unaffected by cochlear synaptopathy, but in animals with cochlear synaptopathy there was impairment of suprathreshold TIN coding. This might be explained by the contributions of other structures that make up the auditory trajectory and which play a role in maintaining neural firing and the processing sound stimuli. It would be interesting to have new studies with different and expanded sound stimuli to confirm these findings.

We strongly recommend reading this study! The literature reports a strong connection between the CN and the perception of tinnitus. Explanations involve the observation that the CN receives input directly from the auditory nerve, and so any problems in the nerve could well cause long-term problems in the CN. Individuals exposed to noise could receive hyperstimulation of the CN, and this might generate changes in the CN that could provide a mistaken perception of sound that is, tinnitus.

It is also worth noting that tinnitus and hyperacusis often appear together, with an associated incidence rate of around 60%. The joint appearance of tinnitus and hyperacusis appears to be greater in cases where there has been exposure to noise, although both tinnitus and hyperacusis can occur in isolation. Therefore, when analysing patients with tinnitus or hyperacusis, special attention should be paid to procedures that can check the integrity of the CN.



THE CELLS OF THE CN ARE INTRIGUING BECAUSE THEY INTEGRATE INFORMATION FROM THE COCHLEA WITH THAT FROM OTHER SENSORY MODALITIES.

The interactions seem to be associated with sound localisation, perhaps involving movement of the head as the subject searches for acoustic cues. There also appears to be a correlation with somatosensory inputs and the suppression of acoustic signals. Animal studies have shown that the CN play a central role in integrating sound and tactile stimuli Different head positions provide different acoustic cues, information which allows you to determine the location of a sound through differences between the right and left ears.

In terms of auditory tests and their correlation with the CN, it is known that when one records brainstem auditory evoked potentials using clicks, wave III is formed when the stimuli reach the CN. There are still controversies regarding how to interpret wave III responses, so it is important that new studies be done to correlate the findings with different pathologies and conditions. However, some key indications are presented in the accompanying table.

PATHOLOGY OR CONDITION	RESPONSE OF CLICK-ABR WAVE III
<b>Aging</b> (Tessele et al, 2022)	Prolonged wave III latency
Autism (Liu et al, 2023)	Prolonged wave III latency in the right ear
<b>Hyperbilirrubinemia neonatal</b> (Mandour et al, 2023)	Prolonged wave III latency
Listening difficulties (Hunter et al, 2023)	Reduced wave III latency
Long Covid-19 (Dorobisz et al, 2023)	Prolonged wave III latency
Multiple Sclerosis (Srinivasan et al, 2021)	Prolonged wave III latency
<b>Otitis Media</b> (Colella-Santos et al, 2019)	Prolonged latency and reduced wave III amplitude
Pathogenic neurovascular compression of the 8th cranial nerve in vestibular parox- ysmia (Sun et al, 2021)	Prolonged wave III latency
<b>Sleep Apnea</b> (Bernáth et al, 2009)	Prolonged wave III latency
<b>Tinnitus</b> (Montazeri et al, 2023)	Reduced wave III amplitude
<b>Traumatic Brain Injury</b> (Buriti et al, 2022)	Reduced wave III amplitude

Table 1 demonstrates that CN functioning can be affected by various pathologies and conditions.

The table specifically refers to wave III findings. However, the studies listed have also analysed other click-ABR components (wave I, wave III, wave V, interpeak interval I–III, interpeak interval III–V, and interpeak interval I–V), and these have been associated with other conditions.

Therefore, to better understand the findings, we suggest the reader consult the references provided.

# **References Consulted:**

- 1. Moller AR. Hearing: its physiology and pathophysiology. New York, NY: Academic Press. 2000.
- Moller AR; Jannetta, PJ; Jho HD. Click-evoked responses from the cochlear nucleus: a study in human. Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology, 92, 215-224. 1994.
- Cacace AT, Brozoski T, Berkowitz B, Bauer C, Odintsov B, Bergkvist M et al. Manganese enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MEMRI): A powerful new imaging method to study tinnitus. Hearing Research. 2014; 311, 49–62. doi: 10.1016/j.heares.2014.02.003. Epub 2014 Feb 26.
- Musiek FE, Baran JA. The Auditory System: anatomy, physiology and clinical correlates. San Diego, CA: Plural Publishing. 2020.
- Wouterlood FG, Mugnaini E. Cartwheel neurons of the dorsal cochlear nucleus: A Golgi-electron microscopic study in rat. Journal of Comparative Neurology. 1984; 227, 136–157. doi: 10.1002/cne.902270114.
- Hockley A, Cassinotti M, Selesko M, Corfas G, Shore SE. Cochlear synaptopathy impairs suprathreshold tone-in-noise coding in the cochlear nucleus. J Physiol. 2023; pp 1-16. https://doi. org/10.1113/JP284452#supportinformation-section.
- 7. Wu C, Martel DT, Shore SE. Increased synchrony and bursting

of dorsal cochlear nucleus fusiform cells correlate with tinnitus. Journal of Neuroscience. 2016; 36(6), 2068–2073. doi: 10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.3960-15.2016

- Zeng C, Yang Z, Shreve L, Bledsoe S, Shore S. Somatosensory projections to cochlear nucleus are upregulated after unilateral deafness. J. Neurosci. 2012; 32, 15791–15801. doi: 10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.2598-12.2012
- 9. Sheldrake J, Diehl PU, Schaette R. Audiometric characteristics of hyperacusis patients. Front Neurol. 2015; 6:105. doi: 10.3389/ fneur.2015.00105.
- 10. Tessele DR, Peixe BP, Sanguebuche, Malavolta VC, Garcia MV, Sanfins MD. Aging process and central auditory pathway: a study based on auditory brainstem evoked potential and frequencyfollowing response. Einstein (São Paulo). 2022; 20: 1-5. https:// doi.org/10.31744/einstein\_ journal/2022AO6829
- Hunter LL, Blankenship CM, Shinn-Cunningham B, Hood L, Zadeh LM, Moore DR. Brainstem auditory physiology in children with listening difficulties. Hearing Research. 2023; 429 (1), 108705. doi: 10.1016/j.heares.2023.108705.
- 12. Montarezi K, Farhadi M, Akbarnejad Z, Asadpour A, Majdabadi A, Fekrazad R,

Mahmoudian S. Acoustic and optoacoustic stimulations in auditory brainstem response test in salicylate induced tinnitus. Scientific Reports. 2023; 13: 11930. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-39033-5

- 13. Bernáth I, McNamara P, Szternák N, Szakács Z, Köves P, Terray-Horváth A et al (2009) Hyperviscosity as a possible cause of positive acoustic evoked potential findings in patients with sleep apnea: a dual electrophysiological and hemorheological study. Sleep Med 10(3):361–367. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.sleep.2008. 03.012 14.
- 14. Sun H, Tian X, Zhao Y, Jiang H, Gao Z, Wu H. Application of ABR in pathogenic neurovascular compression of the 8th cranial nerve in vestibular paroxysmia. Acta Neurochirurgica. 2022; 164: 2953-2962. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00701-022-05157-2.
- Colella-Santos MF, Donadon C, Sanfins MD, Borges LR. Otitis media: long-term effect on central auditory nervous system. Biomed Research International. 2019; Volume 2019, Article ID 8930904 https://doi. org/10.1155/2019/8930904.
- 16. Liu Y, Liu Z, Diao T, Song P, Hu Q, Jiang N. Exploring the relationship between auditory brainstem response testing and disease progression in pediatric autism spectrum disorder. Altern Ther Health Med. 2023 Oct 20:AT9267.
- 17. Mandour, Y. M., El Sayed, M. A.,

El Sayed Morgan, A., Bassam, R., Fadl, H., & Elrefae, A. (2020). Audiological assessment of neonatal hyperbilirubinemia. International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology, 135, 110126. doi:10.1016/j.ijporl.2020.110126.

- Dorobisz K, Pazdo-Zasrawny K, Misiak P, Kruk-Krzemien A, Zatonski T. Sensorineural hearing loss in patients with longcovid-19: objective and behavioral audiometric findings. Infection and Drug Resistance. 2023: 16. doi. org/10.2147/IDR.S398126.
- 19. Srinivasan VS, Krishna R, Munirathinam BR. Effectiveness of Brainstem Auditory Evoked Potentials Scoring in Evaluating Brainstem Dysfunction and Disability Among Individuals With Multiple Sclerosis. Am J Audiol 2021;30(02):255–265. https://doi. org/10.1044/2020\_AJA-20-00155.
- 20. Buriti AKL, Luiz CBL, Sanfins M, Gil D. Brainstem auditory evoked potentials and frequencyfollowing responses in patients with traumatic brain injury. Journal of Hearing Science. 2022; 12 (1): 21-28. DOI: 10.17430/JHS.2022.12.1.2

### **Authors:**



#### PROF. DR. MILAINE DOMINICI SANFINS

Postdoc at the World Hearing Center, Warsaw, Poland;
 Sandwich Doctorate by School of Medical Sciences,
 State University of Campinas (FCM-UNICAMP) and by

Università degli Studi di Ferrara/Italy;

- Expertise in Audiology by Federal Council of Speech Therapy and Audiology;

- Speech Therapist and Audiologist, Master by Medical School of University of São Paulo (FMUSP);

- Professor of the Post-graduate program in Clinical Audiology at the Albert Einstein Israelite Institute of

research and teaching;

- Invited professor in undergraduate, specialization and postgraduate courses;

- Reviewer of scientific articles in the area of

Neuroaudiology, Neuroscience and Audiology;

- Research group member, Institute of Physiology and Pathology of Hearing, Kajetany, Poland.

- Instagram @dramisanfins / email: msanfins@uol.com. br



**DR. LÚCIO TAKEMOTO** 

- Preceptor of the Otorhinolaryngology residency at the University of São Paulo (USP) – Bauru.

- Doctor from the State University of Londrina (UEL).

 Assistant doctor at PUC-PR on the Londrina campus.
 Residence at the Regional University Hospital of Northern Paraná, UEL



#### SPEECH THERAPIST AND AUDIOLOGIST MÁRCIA BONGIOVANNI

- Speech therapist (scientific coordinator and founding partner of Audioclínica – Instituto da Audição e do Equilíbrio in Londrina – PR).

Catholic University (PUC) – Londrina, PR

Specialist in Central Auditory Processing and Human Communication Disorders - UNIFESP
Fellowship in the Department of Speech Pathology & Audiology at the University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics



#### PROF. DR. PIOTR HENRYK SKARZYNSKI

- Professor, ENT, Master and Doctorate by Medical University of Warsaw;

- Research, didactic, clinical, and organizational work in World Hearing Center of Institute of Physiology and Pathology of Hearing, Institute of Sensory Organs and Medical University of Warsaw;

- Specialist in ENT, pediatric ENT, audiology and phoniatrics, and public health. Participated in the 3rd Stakeholders Consultation meeting during which the World Hearing Forum of WHO was announced;

- Member of the Roster of Experts on Digital Health of WHO, Vice-President and Institutional Representative of ISfTeH;

- President-elect of International Advisory Board of AAO-HNS, member of Congress and Meeting Department of EAONO, Regional Representative of Europe of ISA, Vice-President of HearRing Group, Auditor of EFAS, member of the Facial Nerve Stimulation Steering Committee; - Board Secretary of the Polish Society of Otorhinolaryngologists, Phoniatrists and Audiologists. Member of Hearing Committee (2018–19);

- Goodwill Ambassador representing Poland at the AAO-HNSF 2021 Annual Meeting & OTO Experience, and since 2021 a member of Implantable Hearing Devices Committee and Otology & Neurotology Education Committee of AAO-HNS;

- Consultant Committee of International Experts of CPAM-VBMS (by special invitation), honorary member of ORL Danube Society, and honorary member of Société Française d'Oto-Rhino-Laryngologie;

Member of the Council of National Science Center;
 Expert and member of numerous national organizations.